

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,183	04/23/2004	Shmuel Erez		7716
Ehood Geva	7590 12/22/2006		EXAMINER	
4190 Maybell Way Palo Alto, CA 94306			HOFFBERG, ROBERT JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	· · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/22/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/830,183	<b>,</b>	EREZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Robert J. H		2835			
Period for Reply	rears on the	boyer gricot with the t				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tin  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ap	<u>pril 2004</u> .					
. /						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,10-15,17 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-9 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from cons					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted drawing(s) be tion is required	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been s have been rity documer u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/23/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate. <u>12/19/06</u> .			

Art Unit: 2835

#### **Detailed Action**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-18) in the reply filed on 12/11/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 19-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/11/06.

#### **Drawings**

- 3. Figure 1A should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: #34g (page 10, line 21). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

Art Unit: 2835

application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "connection means are adhesive connections" (claims 6 and 13) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Art Unit: 2835

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 8. Page 4, line 13, change "heart" to "heat".
- 9. Page 10, line 12, change "spacer 32" to "spacer 34".
- 10. Page 10, line 18, change "spacer 32" to "spacer 34".
- 11. Page 11, line 7, change "heatsink" to "heatsink 30".Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

- 12. Claims 5, 10-12 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: "said spacer" lacks antecedent basis. For examination purposes "said spacer" will be interpreted as "said insert". Appropriate correction is required.
- 13. Claims 7-9 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: change "selected form" to "selected from". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 14. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 15. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites an "insert have two opposite sides"

Art Unit: 2835

and further states "said at least one insert being attached to said opposite sides". The language of the claim states that the insert is being attached to opposite sides of itself. Examiner is interpreting "said at least one insert being attached to said opposite sides" as "said at least one insert being attached to said first object and said second object" for examination purposes.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

16. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 17. Claims 1-5, 10-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matteson (US 7,006,353).

Matteson teaches a device for fastener-free connection comprising: a first object (#16); a second object (#12); and at least one insert (#24) made from a heat-shrinkable material installed between said first object and said second object (see Fig. 1), said at least one insert having two opposite sides (#24 top and bottom); said heat-shrinkable material being thermally shrinkable (Col. 5, lines 31-33) in a direction that provides movement of said first object and said second object towards each other during shrinking; said at least one insert being attached to said opposite sides by connection means (#24 is an adhesive); and at least one additional object (#14 or #18) located in a

Art Unit: 2835

space between said first object and said second object; said heat-shrinkable material being capable of shrinking only in one direction (Col. 5, lines 32-37) (claim 1), said at least one additional object is an object that requires removal of heat (Col. 1, line 20) (claim 3), said at least one additional object is an electronic device (#14) (claim 4), wherein one of said first object and said second object comprises support means (#16), while the other of said first object and said second object comprises a heatsink unit (#12); said at least one additional object being supported by said support means (see Fig. 1) and may be heated and require removal of heat when heated (Col. 1, line 20); said at least one additional object having a first contact surface (#14 top) and a height from said support means to said first contact surface; said heat-sink having a second contact (#12 bottom) surface in a heat-transmitting engagement with said first contact surface of said at least one additional object for removal of heat therefrom via said heattransmitting engagement (through #18); said spacer having a height that exceeds a height from said support means to said first contact surface, said spacer having a degree and direction of shrinking (Col. 5, lines 31-33), at least in the direction of said height of said at least one additional object, that maintain said heat-sink unit and said at least one additional object in said heat-transmitting engagement when said spacer has been shrunk (see Fig. 3) (claim 5), said spacer is made from a solid block (see Fig. 1) (claims 10-12) and said support means is a printed circuit board, and wherein said electronic device is an electronic chip (#14) (claims 14-15).

Art Unit: 2835

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 1-6 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haley et al. (US 5,359,768) in view of Hill et al. (US 4,005,457).

With respect to Claims 1-5, 10-12 and 14-15, Haley et al. teach a device for fastener-free connection comprising: a first object (#105); a second object (#104); and at least one insert (#110) made from a shrinkable material installed between said first object and said second object (see Fig. 1), said at least one insert having two opposite sides (#110 top and bottom); said shrinkable material being shrinkable in a direction (Col. 4, line 5) that provides movement of said first object and said second object towards each other during shrinking; said at least one insert being attached to said first object and said second object by connection means (#110 is an adhesive); and at least one additional object (#111 or #103) located in a space between said first object and said second object; said shrinkable material being capable of shrinking (Col. 4, lines 4-6) only in one direction (claim 1), said at least one additional object is an adhesive material (#111) in an amount sufficient to provide an adhesive connection between said first object and said second object after shrinkage of said at least one insert (see Fig. 1) (claim 2), said at least one additional object (#103) is an object that requires removal of heat (Col. 1, line 68) (claim 3), said at least one additional object is an electronic device

Art Unit: 2835

(#103) (claim 4), wherein one of said first object and said second object comprises support means (#105), while the other of said first object and said second object comprises a heatsink (#104) unit; said at least one additional object (#103) being supported by said support means and may be heated and require removal of heat when heated (Col. 1, line 68); said at least one additional object having a first contact surface (#103) and a height (see Fig. 1) from said support means to said first contact surface; said heat-sink having a second contact surface (#104 bottom) in a heat-transmitting engagement with said first contact surface of said at least one additional object for removal of heat therefrom via said heat-transmitting engagement; said insert having a height (Col. 4, line 3, before adhesive shrinks) that exceeds a height from said support means to said first contact surface, said insert having a degree and direction of shrinking (Col. 4, lines 4-6), at least in the direction of said height of said at least one additional object, that maintain said heat-sink unit and said at least one additional object in said heat-transmitting engagement (see Fig. 1) when said spacer has been shrunk (claim 5), said insert is made from a solid block (#110 is solid) (claims 10-12), said support means is a printed circuit board (#105), and wherein said electronic device is an electronic chip (#103) (claims 14-15). Haley et al. fail to disclose a heat shrinkable material. Hill et al. teaches a heat-shrinkable (Col. 3, limes 55 and 64) material (#40) installed between said first object (#S) and said second object (#D) (claim 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the device of Haley et al. with the heat-shrinkable material of Hill et al. for the purpose of providing a high degree of shrinkage during the curing process.

Art Unit: 2835

With respect to Claims 6 and 13, Haley et al. in view of Hill et al. discloses the claimed invention except for adhesive connections. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the adhesive connection and have adhesive connections, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

20. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haley et al. (US 5,359,768) in view of Hill et al. (US 4,005,457) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Maleski et al. (US 5,571,992).

Haley et al. in view of Hill et al. disclosed the claim invention including the insert being connected to the heatsink and to the support means through a glue (Haley, #110) so that the heatsink is connected to the support means via said insert (claim 17) and said electronic device is an electronic chip (Haley, #103) (claim 18). They fail to teach an insert is made from a heat-shrinkable electrically conductive material, the support means being grounded, the additional object generating electromagnetic radiation and a conductive glue. Haley et al.'s prior art teach a conductive bonding agent (Col. 1, line 43) for electrical contact. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the device of Haley et al. in view of Hill et al. with the conductive glue of Haley et al. for the purpose of providing a method of assembly that includes electrical connections. They fail to teach an insert is made from a heat-shrinkable electrically conductive material, the support means being grounded

Art Unit: 2835

and the additional object generating electromagnetic radiation. Maleski et al. teach an insert (#62) is made from a heat-shrinkable electrically conductive material (Col. 3, lines 9-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the device of Haley et al. in view of Hill et al. with the heat-shrinkable electrically conductive material of Maleski et al. for the purpose of providing a electromagnetic shielding. It is well known to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a support means (circuit board) is grounded because the support means provides electrical connections including ground to the addition object (electronic chip) and that the addition object generates electromagnetic radiation during operation as a result of changes in the electrical states of its elements.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 7-9 and 16 are objected to as outlined in the claim objection above and being dependent upon a rejected base claim and the claim objection above, but would be allowable if the claim objection is corrected and are rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 7-9 and 16 are allowable over the art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest that a heat-shrinkable plastic capable of shrinking only in one direction that is selected from the group consisting of a radiation cross-linked heat-shrinkable polyolefin and a heat-shrinkable fluorocarbon-based material being capable of shrinking only in one direction and installed between a first object and a second object providing movement of the first object and second object towards each other

Art Unit: 2835

during shrinking. The aforementioned limitations in combination with all remaining limitations of the respective claims are believed to render said claims 7-9 and 16 patentable over art of record.

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 23. applicant's disclosure. Yoshida (JP 04-254343) discloses a heat shrinkable member between an electronic chip and a support means that provides movement of the electronic chip towards the support means. Hirai et al. (US 5,331,513) and Nakatsuka et al. (JP 09-027515) disclose a heat shrinkable member to retain an electronic chip to a support means.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 24. examiner should be directed to Robert J. Hoffberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-2761. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RJH** 

MICHAEL DATSKOVSKIY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mul Jakken 12/21/06